

## ***From RON CRAWFORD's SEWERWATCH...***

I found a script that counted up, in days, hours, minutes and seconds, and then I put that count-up in front of the following text, so it read something like this: 180 day, 14 hours, 28 minutes, and 52 seconds has elapsed since *SewerWatch* [exposed](#) that [*alleged*] environmental activist, recalled Los Osos CSD Director, former Solution Group member, and self-described "San Luis Obispo Coastkeeper", [Gordon Hensley](#), can stop polluting the waters of California by installing a composting toilet system -- a system that the Regional Water Quality Control Board said "will" improve the water quality in Los Osos -- but has yet to do so."]

After all, Hensley *is* THE "San Luis Obispo Coastkeeper," a local, "environmental" "group." (To clarify, according to the SLO Coastkeeper [web site](#), the entire "organization" is just Hensley, so that's why I always have to add quotes around the word "group," or "organization," when referring to SLO Coastkeeper.)

He was recalled from office, along with two other like-minded Directors, for his role in developing an extremely unpopular sewer plant, that was to be constructed in the middle of town... directly across the town's main street from homes.

Shortly after he was recalled from office, Hensley, through SLO Coastkeeper (and *another* one of his "groups," Taxpayers Watch), officially [supported State legislation](#), eventually signed by the Governor, that transferred authority of the sewer project, from the LOCSD (that Hensley was recalled from), to the County of San Luis Obispo, in 2006.

Additionally, immediately after he was recalled from office, Hensley used his Taxpayers Watch "group" in a "four hearings over nine months" attempt to [officially "dissolve"](#) the very government agency that he was recently recalled from, the Los Osos Community Services District. That unsuccessful dissolution effort would wind up costing Taxpayers Watch over \$40,000.

However -- and this is flat-out *great* -- since the release of the Los Osos wastewater project's [draft Environmental Impact Report](#) a few months back by county officials, I began to notice how, expectedly, nearly every local environmental agency in the county - - Surf Rider, Green Build, ECOSLO, The Sierra Club, just on and on, even the Farm Bureau -- was chiming in on where the treatment facility should be located. And, not one of those *real* environmental groups (you know, with, like, staffs) is in support of building the treatment facility at the location where CSD Director/SLO Coastkeeper Hensley spent some \$25 million, and over five years prepping for a sewer plant.

Furthermore, while all of those real environmental groups were officially submitting their comments earlier this year, I also noticed how *another* certain "SLO" County environmental "group," that supposedly "keep"s the "Coast," and is "a program of

Environment in the Public Interest" (whatever that means), was remaining conspicuously silent on the matter.

So, as you can understand, it occurred to me that it'd be very interesting to hear if SLO Coastkeeper's views regarding the location of the Los Osos wastewater treatment facility, aligned more closely with "their" fellow environmentalists, or with *recalled CSD Director*, Gordon Hensley.

"We...", (again, with the "We"), "We (SLO Coastkeeper) have no preference for the location, collection system, or disposal method the County ultimately chooses provided that the current water pollution comes to an end," Hensley wrote in an e-mail to *SewerWatch*.

(Did I mention that Hensley, as a Los Osos CSD Director, spent some \$25 million, and over five years on an intensely controversial "location?")



Bruce Gibson Pandora Nash-Karner

(Nash-Karner photo courtesy NewTimesSlo.com)

People like Hensley make a living by creating a front organization purporting to be some sort of an environmental watchdog in order to prey on the simple-minded and well-intended who believe they are saving the environment by blindly sending money to these front organizations in support of whatever fictional cause is current.

Alleged front groups like *Coastkeeper* always claim to have hundreds, sometimes thousands of members but are never able or willing to identify any. They con money from the well-intended by representing themselves as caring and concerned organizations created for the benefit of protecting and preserving the environment (hug!).

However, in reality, the true purpose of many are to help pre-selected political groups and politicians, certain state and local agencies such as a local RWQCB, from whom they receive substantial money and support for alleged or non-existent water-saving projects but, in reality, are willing and able accomplices ready and eager to assist these terrorists in promoting whatever dirty scheme is current on their agenda. Follow the money!

It always better to have support of local people and organizations that appear to either support or oppose an issue, whatever and whenever it suits the master's needs. As most environmental groups are fronts for fictional or currently popular causes (real or not), they are naturals for these purposes.

Coastkeeper member/supporters on the central coast

EPI /

The purpose of my request is to identify those individuals who you claim, in your lawsuit have some nexus to Nipomo. Despite the fact you claim to have lived in San Luis Obispo County for 53 years, I don't see any nexus you have with Nipomo. I know most of the attorneys in Nipomo, and I don't think attorney Naficy has any direct nexus to Nipomo. Yet, the lawsuit you both filed against our locally and publicly elected community services district, specifically alleges that:

” Coastkeeper brings this action on its own institutional behalf and on behalf of its members including members who live or work in San Luis and in particular the Nipomo Mesa area