

# Memorandum

**To:** U. S. Attorney Lawrence Middleton

**CC:**

**From:** Budd Sanford: (805) 528 3333

**Date:** 12/21/2009

**Re:** Election Fraud: Mail Fraud and more.

Dear Mr. Middleton:

I have been attempting to reach you by phone to thank you for your message last week and to discuss your "thoughts and ideas." I hope that you have received my messages and that we will speak soon.

I received a publication entitled, "**Federal Prosecution of Election Offenses, Sixth Edition.**" I have been looking forward to receiving this because I had believed that the allegations of election fraud outlined in my submission spilled over in to the Federal arena.

The first words in the Preface state: "**The voting process is the foundation of American democracy, and its integrity must be insured in order for our system of government to operate properly. Corruption in the voting process not only subverts the true will of the citizenry, but also destroys the confidence of those citizens in all governmental institutions.**"

"**When the election process is corrupted, democracy is jeopardized.**" Further, "... **virtually all election crime is driven by a motive to control governmental power for some corrupt purpose.**" And finally, election crimes are a "**subversion of... the essence of democracy: honest government, responsive to the will of the people**"

We believe that the allegations and evidence will support allegations of false election information, voter intimidation, mail fraud, Patronage crimes and possibly Civil Rights crimes. Is there a need for Federal prosecution? Yes.

Despite an abundance of hard copy evidence, the San Luis Obispo County District Attorney has refused to investigate and the California State Attorney General has not responded. The alleged offenses seriously, adversely and directly affect the Federal interest as noted above.

More than 1,000 voters are available to testify. The alleged crimes have been aggravated by monetary gains into the hundreds of millions of dollars and serious criminal intent through fraud, conspiracy and other yet to be identified crimes are alleged.

We believe the evidence also supports prosecution under **Title 18 felonies**, leaving no doubt as to the knowing and willful intent of the alleged conspirators and evidence to demonstrate an attempt to disguise and conceal the activities.

**“Every qualified voter has the right to make a personal and independent election decision.”** In the case of the June 2001 LOCSD Assessment District balloting, deliberate false information deprived voters of the truth thereby stealing their ability to make an informed decision.

**“Voting shall not be influenced by ... intimidation.”** Threats of non-existent **“\$10,000 per day fines,”** against all individual residents for failure to “approve the District”, a **“mandated sewer”** and **“no choice”** intimidated many voters into either not voting at all or in to approving the measure to avoid the fines and despite their personal objections. Virtually all of the pre-election material was fraught with deliberate misinformation.

**“Voter intimidation schemes,”** and, **“Voter ‘assistance’ frauds, in which the wishes of the voters are ignored or not sought.”** The ballots were corrupted by PRE-ELECTION deliberate misinformation campaign of intimidation and threats, thereby denying voters the proper information to make an independent, informed and intelligent decision.

**Mail Fraud: 18 U. S. C. 1341** prohibits the use of the United States mail... to further a **“scheme or artifice to defraud”** which includes **“schemes to deprive others of property rights.”** The **“Mc Nally fix” act of 1988, section 1346** applies to schemes to deprive another of the intangible right of honest services. Where money or salary is a pecuniary interest and is sought through the scheme, the mail fraud statute applies.

One allegation involves **Pandora Nash-Karner**, an original Los Ooso Community Services District (LOCSD) Director. It is alleged that while still a Director on the LOCSD Board she used her office to acquire information that led to her placing a **\$50,000+** bid for a project that was open for public bidding and was awarded the contract.

Shortly thereafter, she resigned from the Board mid-term and later submitted a budget to the LOCSD in her company name with her as a **“Project Manager”** for **\$715,000**, and included an additional **\$200,000+** for her husband, Gary Karner.

In connection with the Pandora Nash-Karner scheme, it is possible that **section 1341** regarding a **commercial bribery scheme** was violated by Bruce Buel, General Manager of the LOCSD, Rosemary Bowker, president of the LOCSD, Stan Gustafson, V. P. of the LOCSD and other Directors of the LOCSD.

We hope this communication will expand your areas of investigation and prompt immediate action. Thank you.

Sincerely,

**Budd Sanford**, for and on behalf of,  
**The Bay/ Osos Community Services District Committee (B/OCSDC)**

*December 21, 2009*